O/Cement/52

1952.

File No. 57/52

Legal Deptt

G **BRANCH**

K

P=4.
E

Subject:— Opinion on the Cement

O/cement

File No. 57/52

Gen. 194 e.

12

C O N T E N T S

Legal Department File C Cement/1952

Sr. No.	K.I./C. No.	Subject	Pages.
		Opinion on the Cement 1952.	
1	C-216 22-5-52	Question whether M/S. Moolchand & Co. can be prosecuted for slightly overcharging some items and also undercharging some items as it is difficult to prove intention to profiteer.	

5 c.
V.R.K.
16/7/56

MHC 24/7

Office of the R.L.A.

The view taken by the Labour Department that this is not a fit case for prosecution is correct. From the evidence it appears that there was no statutory order fixing the sale price of imported cement in the Mofussil at Rs. 8-6-0 per bag but the correspondence between Government and certain firms as well as the understanding with the cement importers Association etc. made this Company believe that they could sell cement at Rs. 8-6-0 per bag. On actual working of the prices at the controlled rate, it was found that even though the Company may have slightly overcharged in some items, it has also undercharged in some items. It will be therefore, difficult to prove that there was any intention to profiteer. The case is therefore, not a fit one for launching a prosecution.

O/C. Sd/-
21/5

Remembrancer of Legal Affairs.

U.R. L.& H.D. No. G-216, dated the 22nd May 1952.

4 c.
V.R.K.
15/7/56